



Bruce Ruff Commentary Is Now Published Locally, Nationally and Internationally

The Endorsement Deception

So what is it about endorsements the voters can't seem to get?

I'm not a historian but it seems to me Neville Chamberlain returned home with a rather public endorsement of a guy named Adolph Hitler, wasn't it? Hitler had signed a document saying he wouldn't invade other countries and guess what, we know now he lied.

The current U.S. House and Senate are full of politicians who have millions of endorsements and we're on the verge of collapse – you know that. We're being taxed, misrepresented, lied to and betrayed almost daily now.

Here's my suggestion to the voters:

Take a minute to take a deep breath and think outside the box for a minute. Focusing on just the endorsements of law enforcement candidates this political season ask yourself a couple of serious questions.

First:

Is the sheriff's job a political office in the sense of partisan politics – or is it an elective office that is non-partisan politically, blind to gender, race, religion and other considerations that should have absolutely no bearing on the law and law enforcement?

Consideration:

Laws are passed by the Legislative branch of our government. The Executive branch of our government enforces the will of the people as articulated through the laws passed – and the Executive branch is tasked with enforcing the laws passed. The Judicial branch is responsible for reviewing and ensuring the Constitutional compliance of the laws and the enforcement thereof of the laws found to be Constitutional. This process is intended to ensure there are checks and balances and separation of powers.

Second:

The voters need to actually reflect on the truth of how many of the endorsers have actually seen, first-hand, the work product of the person they are endorsing.

Is the endorsement a statement of mere personal like of the endorsed person – or an actual knowledge through witnessing of the work product, professional accomplishments of the endorsed person and guarantee the endorser knows through personal knowledge that the endorsed person has demonstrated a full and complete knowledge in the field they are seeking election?

Consideration:

Short of personal knowledge the truth is the endorsement are misleading - and the American political climate clearly demonstrates hundreds of examples where endorsements have been hollow, misleading and actually dangerous to our Republic's political health and now existence.

Example:

How could it be that a conservative congressional representative – you know, someone who professes to want secure borders and small government and all the conservative principles ensured endorse an individual, and a liberal congressman who is in favor of open borders, amnesty for illegal aliens also endorse the same candidate and then add union bosses to the same endorsement base.

The inherent conflict of these endorsements should be sending huge alarms warning bells to the voters. Incongruence is something voters can no longer afford to ignore.

Finally:

If there is not a common professional tie/personal expertise base between the endorser and the endorsed – the voters are being misled.

Apathy, laziness, indifference and evil intent have brought Democracies and Republics to a precipice.

History has many examples to learn from – we are at a point where the American experiment is actually on the ropes and in actual danger of collapse if those who are responsible for the survival of the experiment don't stop, look, listen and rethink their involvement levels – this would be the voters.

We, the voters, are why we, the voters, are in such danger.

As you've read quoted:

“All that is necessary for evil to prevail is for good men (women) to do nothing.”
--- Edmund Burke

The games on and the stakes are high. What do you bet?

###